

June 7, 2016

Long-Term Data from Two Trials Evaluating the *Opdivo*[®] (nivolumab) and *Yervoy*[®] (ipilimumab) Regimen in Advanced Melanoma Continues to Validate Bristol-Myers Squibb's Immuno-Oncology Combination Approach

(PRINCETON, NJ, June 6, 2016) - Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (NYSE: BMY) announced results from two trials evaluating the Opdivo and Yervoy combination regimen in advanced melanoma. In the pivotal Phase 3, CheckMate -067 trial, at a minimum follow-up of 18 months, the Opdivo and Yervoy combination demonstrated continued clinical benefit with a 58% reduction in the risk of disease progression versus Yervoy monotherapy (HR=0.42 [99.5% CI: 0.31-0.57; p<0.0001]), while Opdivo monotherapy demonstrated a 45% risk reduction versus Yervoy alone (HR=0.55 [99.5% CI: 0.43-0.76; p<0.0001]). Durable responses were also observed with the combination regimen in a subgroup of patients who discontinued therapy due to treatment-related adverse events (n=35) and appeared consistent with the overall randomized patient population (n=95), based on a post-hoc analysis from the Phase 2 study, CheckMate -069. Among this subgroup of patients, the objective response rate was 66%, and 20% achieved a complete response, with a minimum follow-up of two years. At two years, the median duration of response was not reached and 74% remain in response. The safety profile of the Opdivo and Yervoy combination regimen in both CheckMate -067 and -069 was consistent with previously reported studies of the combination, and most treatment-related adverse events were managed using established algorithms.

Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS) has a robust clinical development program in Opdivo monotherapy and in combination therapy with other therapeutic drugs in a variety of tumor types overseas, including Head and Neck Cancer, Glioblastoma, Small Cell Lung Cancer, Urothelial Cancer, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Esophageal Cancer, Hodgkin Lymphoma, Colorectal Cancer, Solid Tumors (Triple-Negative Breast Cancer, Gastric Cancer, Pancreatic Cancer), Blood Cancer, etc.

In Japan, Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (ONO) launched Opdivo for the treatment of unresectable melanoma in September 2014. ONO received an approval for additional indication of unresectable, advanced or recurrent non-small cell lung cancer in December 2015. In addition, ONO has submitted supplemental applications for additional indications of Renal Cell Cancer and Hodgkin Lymphoma, and is conducting clinical development program including Head and Neck Cancer, Gastric Cancer, Esophageal Cancer, Small Cell Lung Cancer, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Glioblastoma, Ovarian Cancer, Urothelial Cancer, Biliary Tract Cancer, etc.

In Japan, ONO and BMS (and BMS Japan subsidiary BMSKK) have formed a strategic partnership that includes co-development, co-commercialization, and co-promotion of multiple immunotherapies for patients with cancer.

Attached from the following page is the press release made by BMS for your information.

Contact

ONO PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.

Corporate Communications

public_relations@ono.co.jp



Long-Term Data from Two Trials Evaluating the *Opdivo*® (nivolumab) and *Yervoy*® (ipilimumab) Regimen in Advanced Melanoma Continues to Validate Bristol-Myers Squibb's Immuno-Oncology Combination Approach

Significantly longer progression-free survival and higher objective response rates with Opdivo and Yervoy combination and Opdivo monotherapy versus Yervoy alone, with a minimum of 18-month follow-up, from CheckMate -067

In CheckMate -067, median duration of response had not been reached with the combination regimen, and was 22.3 months for Opdivo monotherapy and 14.4 months for Yervoy alone

With longer follow-up, the Opdivo and Yervoy combination regimen had a consistent safety profile with previously reported studies of the combination

(PRINCETON, NJ, June 6, 2016) - [Bristol-Myers Squibb Company](#) (NYSE: BMY) announced today results from two trials evaluating the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen in advanced melanoma. In the pivotal Phase 3, CheckMate -067 trial, at a minimum follow-up of 18 months, the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination demonstrated continued clinical benefit with a 58% reduction in the risk of disease progression versus *Yervoy* monotherapy (HR=0.42 [99.5% CI: 0.31-0.57; $p<0.0001$]), while *Opdivo* monotherapy demonstrated a 45% risk reduction versus *Yervoy* alone (HR=0.55 [99.5% CI: 0.43-0.76; $p<0.0001$]). Durable responses were also observed with the combination regimen in a subgroup of patients who discontinued therapy due to treatment-related adverse events (n=35) and appeared consistent with the overall randomized patient population (n=95), based on a post-hoc analysis from the Phase 2 study, CheckMate -069. Among this subgroup of patients, the objective response rate was 66%, and 20% achieved a complete response, with a minimum follow-up of two years. At two years, the median duration of response was not reached and 74% remain in response. The safety profile of the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen in both CheckMate -067 and -069 was consistent with previously reported studies of the combination, and most treatment-related adverse events were managed using established algorithms.

“The data from CheckMate -067 provide new insights on the long-term durability of the progression-free survival benefit seen with the nivolumab and ipilimumab combination regimen in advanced melanoma relative to ipilimumab monotherapy,” said Jedd D. Wolchok, M.D., Ph.D., Chief, Melanoma and Immunotherapeutics Service, at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. “In addition,

in a post-hoc analysis from CheckMate -069, we observed that even for patients who discontinue treatment with the combination regimen due to toxicity, efficacy outcomes appeared consistent with that seen in the overall study population. These data are encouraging and provide additional important information about the efficacy and safety of the combination regimen in these patients.”

The CheckMate -067 data will be presented at the 52nd Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) in an oral abstract session on Monday, June 6, from 2:39 PM – 2:51 PM CDT (Abstract #9505). The CheckMate -069 data were presented in a poster discussion session on Saturday, June 4 (Abstract #9518).

Vicki Goodman, M.D., Development Lead, Melanoma and Genitourinary Cancers, Bristol-Myers Squibb, commented, “With the CheckMate -067 and -069 data presented at ASCO, we observe, with longer follow-up, the durability of progression-free survival and response for the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen in advanced melanoma. These findings further validate our research strategy to study the combination of Immuno-Oncology agents, and we remain committed to building on this research and evaluating more ways to improve long-term survival and patient outcomes in advanced cancers.”

About CheckMate -067

CheckMate -067 is a Phase 3, double-blind, randomized study that evaluated the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen or *Opdivo* monotherapy versus *Yervoy* monotherapy in patients with previously untreated advanced melanoma, including both *BRAF* V600 mutation positive or *BRAF* wild-type advanced melanoma. A total of 945 patients were randomized to receive the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen (*Opdivo* 1 mg/kg plus *Yervoy* 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks administered intravenously for 4 doses followed by *Opdivo* 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks thereafter; n=314), *Opdivo* monotherapy (*Opdivo* 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks administered intravenously; n=316) or *Yervoy* monotherapy (*Yervoy* 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks administered intravenously for 4 doses followed by placebo every 2 weeks; n=315). Patients were treated until progression or unacceptable toxic effects. Co-primary endpoints were progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS); secondary endpoints included PFS and objective response rate (ORR), as well as safety and tolerability. The study is ongoing in its evaluation for OS.

At a minimum follow-up of 18 months, the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination demonstrated a 58% reduction in the risk of disease progression versus *Yervoy* monotherapy in previously untreated patients with advanced melanoma (HR=0.42 [99.5% CI: 0.31-0.57; $p<0.0001$]), while *Opdivo* monotherapy

demonstrated a 45% risk reduction versus *Yervoy* monotherapy (HR=0.55 [99.5% CI: 0.43-0.76; $p<0.0001$]). At a minimum follow-up of 18 months, the median PFS for the combination regimen was 11.5 months (95% CI: 8.9-16.7) and 6.9 months (95% CI: 4.3-9.5) for *Opdivo* monotherapy versus 2.9 months (95% CI: 2.8-3.4) for *Yervoy* monotherapy. At 18 months, the PFS rate was 46% for the combination regimen (HR=0.42 [99.5% CI: 0.31-0.57; $p<0.00001$]), 39% for *Opdivo* monotherapy (HR=0.55 [99.5% CI: 0.43-0.76; $p<0.00001$]), and 14% for *Yervoy*.

The *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen and *Opdivo* monotherapy also demonstrated a higher ORR (ORR: 58% and 44%, $p<0.0001$, respectively) versus *Yervoy* monotherapy (19%). There were 38 (12%) complete responses and 143 (46%) partial responses seen in patients treated with the combination regimen, and 31 (10%) complete responses and 107 (34%) partial responses seen in patients treated with *Opdivo* monotherapy, versus 7 (2%) complete responses and 53 (17%) partial responses seen in patients treated with *Yervoy* alone. The median duration of response had not been reached for those patients treated with the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen, and was 22.3 months for *Opdivo* monotherapy and 14.4 months for *Yervoy* alone. In the study, a change in tumor burden was seen with the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen and *Opdivo* monotherapy, with a median decrease of 51.9% and 34.5%, respectively, and 5.9% increase for *Yervoy* alone.

As part of a pre-planned, descriptive analysis of data from CheckMate -067, a greater improvement in PFS for the combination of *Opdivo* with *Yervoy*, relative to *Opdivo* monotherapy, was only observed in patients with low tumor PD-L1 expression. Overall response rates were higher for the combination of *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* relative to *Opdivo* monotherapy, regardless of tumor PD-L1 expression levels. In addition, efficacy of the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen and *Opdivo* monotherapy was observed, regardless of *BRAF* mutational status.

With longer follow-up, the safety of the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen in CheckMate -067 was consistent with previously reported studies of the combination regimen and most treatment-related select adverse events (AEs) were managed with immune-modulating medications. Grade 3-4 treatment-related AEs were reported more frequently with the combination regimen (56.5%), relative to the *Opdivo* monotherapy (19.8%) versus *Yervoy* alone (27%). Treatment-related AEs of any grade led to discontinuation in 38.7% of patients treated with the combination regimen, 10.5% for patients treated with *Opdivo* monotherapy, and 15.4% of patients treated with *Yervoy* alone. No treatment-related deaths occurred in the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen arm. The most common treatment-related select AEs of any grade with the combination regimen versus *Yervoy* alone included increased ALT (17.9% vs. 3.9%), increased AST (15.7% vs. 3.9%), diarrhea (45.4% vs. 33.8%), colitis (11.5% vs.

11.3%), rash (28.4% vs. 21.2%), pruritus (35.1% vs. 36.3%), hypothyroidism (16% vs. 4.5%), hyperthyroidism (10.2% vs. 1%), elevated creatinine (4.2% vs. 1.6%), and pneumonitis (6.7% vs. 1.6%).

About CheckMate -069

CheckMate -069 is a Phase 2, double-blind, randomized study that evaluated 142 patients with previously untreated unresectable or metastatic melanoma who received either the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen (n=95) or *Yervoy* alone (n=47). The trial included patients with *BRAF* wild-type and *BRAF* V600 mutation-positive melanoma, and randomization was stratified by *BRAF* mutation status. The primary endpoint was objective response rate (ORR) in patients with *BRAF* wild-type tumors. Secondary endpoints included progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with *BRAF* wild-type tumors, ORR in patients with *BRAF* V600 mutation positive tumors, and safety. Overall survival (OS) was an exploratory endpoint.

Based on a post-hoc analysis at a minimum follow-up of two years, OS and response rates in patients who discontinued treatment with the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen due to treatment-related adverse events (AEs, n=35) appeared consistent with the overall population. The two-year OS rate in patients who discontinued treatment with the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen due to AEs was 71%. In the original exploratory analysis of the overall study population, the OS rate was 64% at two years for the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen and 54% for *Yervoy* alone (HR=0.74 [95% CI: 0.43-1.26]).

For the overall patient population and the subgroup of patients who discontinued the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen due to AEs, the median PFS has still not been reached. In addition, based on the post-hoc analysis, the PFS rate at two years for those patients who discontinued the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen due to treatment-related AEs was 52% (95% CI: NR; 7.03-NR). The PFS rate observed in the original exploratory analysis at two years was 51% (95% CI: NR; 7.36-NR) in all patients treated with the combination regimen and 12% with *Yervoy* alone.

Objective response rates among patients who discontinued the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen was 66% (95% CI: 48-81), and 20% achieved a complete response. The ORR observed in the original exploratory analysis was 59% (95% CI: 48-69), and 22% achieved a complete response. Median duration of response was not reached in either group, with ongoing responses seen in 80% of the overall patient population, and in 74% patients who discontinued the combination regimen due to AEs. In addition, exploratory results showed a median reduction of 69% in tumor burden in those patients who discontinued the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen.

The rates of overall treatment-related adverse events (AEs) leading to discontinuation remained consistent with previously reported results from CheckMate -069. The most common treatment-related select AEs of any grade for patients treated with the *Opdivo* and *Yervoy* combination regimen were rash (43%), pruritus (40%), diarrhea (45%), colitis (18%), increased ALT (26%), increased AST (28%), hypothyroidism (17%), hypophysitis (13%), pneumonitis (10%), and increased creatinine (2%).

About Advanced Melanoma

Melanoma is a form of skin cancer characterized by the uncontrolled growth of pigment-producing cells (melanocytes) located in the skin. Metastatic melanoma is the deadliest form of the disease, and occurs when cancer spreads beyond the surface of the skin to the other organs, such as the lymph nodes, lungs, brain or other areas of the body. Melanoma is mostly curable when treated in its early stages. However, in its late stages, the average five-year survival rate is 15% - 20%.

Bristol-Myers Squibb & Immuno-Oncology: Advancing Oncology Research

At Bristol-Myers Squibb, we have a vision for the future of cancer care that is focused on Immuno-Oncology, now considered a major treatment choice alongside surgery, radiation, chemotherapy and targeted therapies for certain types of cancer.

We have a comprehensive clinical portfolio of investigational and approved Immuno-Oncology agents, many of which were discovered and developed by our scientists. Our ongoing Immuno-Oncology clinical program is looking at broad patient populations, across multiple solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, and lines of therapy and histologies, with the intent of powering our trials for overall survival and other important measures like durability of response. We pioneered the research leading to the first regulatory approval for the combination of two Immuno-Oncology agents, and continue to study the role of combinations in cancer.

We are also investigating other immune system pathways in the treatment of cancer including CTLA-4, CD-137, KIR, SLAMF7, PD-1, GITR, CSF1R, IDO, and LAG-3. These pathways may lead to potential new treatment options – in combination or monotherapy – to help patients fight different types of cancers.

Our collaboration with academia, as well as small and large biotech companies, to research the potential of Immuno-Oncology and non-Immuno-Oncology combinations, helps achieve our goal of providing new treatment options in clinical practice.

At Bristol-Myers Squibb, we are committed to changing survival expectations in hard-to-treat cancers and the way patients live with cancer.

About Opdivo

Cancer cells may exploit “regulatory” pathways, such as checkpoint pathways, to hide from the immune system and shield the tumor from immune attack. *Opdivo* is a PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor that binds to the checkpoint receptor PD-1 expressed on activated T-cells, and blocks the binding of PD-L1 and PD-L2, preventing the PD-1 pathway’s suppressive signaling on the immune system, including the interference with an anti-tumor immune response.

Opdivo’s broad global development program is based on Bristol-Myers Squibb’s understanding of the biology behind Immuno-Oncology. Our company is at the forefront of researching the potential of Immuno-Oncology to extend survival in hard-to-treat cancers. This scientific expertise serves as the basis for the *Opdivo* development program, which includes a broad range of Phase 3 clinical trials evaluating overall survival as the primary endpoint across a variety of tumor types. The *Opdivo* trials have also contributed toward the clinical and scientific understanding of the role of biomarkers and how patients may benefit from *Opdivo* across the continuum of PD-L1 expression. To date, the *Opdivo* clinical development program has enrolled more than 18,000 patients.

Opdivo was the first PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor to receive regulatory approval anywhere in the world in July 2014, and currently has regulatory approval in 51 countries including the United States, Japan, and in the European Union.

U.S. FDA APPROVED INDICATIONS FOR OPDIVO®

OPDIVO® (nivolumab) as a single agent is indicated for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 wild-type unresectable or metastatic melanoma.

OPDIVO® (nivolumab) as a single agent is indicated for the treatment of patients with BRAF V600 mutation-positive unresectable or metastatic melanoma. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on progression-free survival. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials.

OPDIVO® (nivolumab), in combination with YERVOY® (ipilimumab), is indicated for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on progression-free survival. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in the confirmatory trials.

OPDIVO® (nivolumab) is indicated for the treatment of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. Patients with EGFR or

ALK genomic tumor aberrations should have disease progression on FDA-approved therapy for these aberrations prior to receiving OPDIVO.

OPDIVO[®] (nivolumab) is indicated for the treatment of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) who have received prior anti-angiogenic therapy.

OPDIVO[®] (nivolumab) is indicated for the treatment of patients with classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL) that has relapsed or progressed after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) and post-transplantation brentuximab vedotin. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on overall response rate. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials.

Please refer to the end of the Important Safety Information for a brief description of the patient populations studied in the Checkmate trials.

IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

WARNING: IMMUNE-MEDIATED ADVERSE REACTIONS

YERVOY can result in severe and fatal immune-mediated adverse reactions. These immune-mediated reactions may involve any organ system; however, the most common severe immune-mediated adverse reactions are enterocolitis, hepatitis, dermatitis (including toxic epidermal necrolysis), neuropathy, and endocrinopathy. The majority of these immune-mediated reactions initially manifested during treatment; however, a minority occurred weeks to months after discontinuation of YERVOY.

Assess patients for signs and symptoms of enterocolitis, dermatitis, neuropathy, and endocrinopathy and evaluate clinical chemistries including liver function tests (LFTs), adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) level, and thyroid function tests at baseline and before each dose.

Permanently discontinue YERVOY and initiate systemic high-dose corticosteroid therapy for severe immune-mediated reactions.

Immune-Mediated Pneumonitis

Immune-mediated pneumonitis, including fatal cases, occurred with OPDIVO treatment. Across the clinical trial experience with solid tumors, fatal immune-mediated pneumonitis occurred with OPDIVO. In addition, in Checkmate 069, there were six patients who died without resolution of abnormal respiratory findings. Monitor patients for signs with radiographic imaging and symptoms of pneumonitis. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 2 or greater pneumonitis. Permanently discontinue for Grade 3 or 4 and withhold until resolution for Grade 2. In Checkmate 069 and 067, immune-mediated pneumonitis occurred in 6% (25/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Fatal (n=1), Grade 3 (n=6), Grade 2 (n=17), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, immune-mediated pneumonitis occurred in 1.8% (14/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2) and Grade 2 (n=12). In Checkmate 057, immune-mediated pneumonitis, including interstitial lung disease, occurred in 3.4% (10/287) of patients: Grade 3 (n=5), Grade 2 (n=2), and Grade 1 (n=3). In Checkmate 025, pneumonitis, including interstitial lung disease, occurred in 5% (21/406) of patients receiving

OPDIVO and 18% (73/397) of patients receiving everolimus. Immune-mediated pneumonitis occurred in 4.4% (18/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 4 (n=1), Grade 3 (n=4), Grade 2 (n=12), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 205 and 039, pneumonitis, including interstitial lung disease, occurred in 4.9% (13/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated pneumonitis occurred in 3.4% (9/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=1) and Grade 2 (n=8).

Immune-Mediated Colitis

Immune-mediated colitis can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Monitor patients for signs and symptoms of colitis. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 2 (of more than 5 days duration), 3, or 4 colitis. As a single agent, withhold OPDIVO for Grade 2 or 3 and permanently discontinue for Grade 4 or recurrent colitis upon restarting OPDIVO. When administered with YERVOY, withhold OPDIVO for Grade 2 and permanently discontinue for Grade 3 or 4 or recurrent colitis upon restarting OPDIVO. In Checkmate 069 and 067, diarrhea or colitis occurred in 56% (228/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY. Immune-mediated colitis occurred in 26% (107/407) of patients: Grade 4 (n=2), Grade 3 (n=60), Grade 2 (n=32), and Grade 1 (n=13). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, diarrhea or colitis occurred in 31% (242/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated colitis occurred in 4.1% (32/787) of patients: Grade 3 (n=20), Grade 2 (n=10), and Grade 1 (n=2). In Checkmate 057, diarrhea or colitis occurred in 17% (50/287) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated colitis occurred in 2.4% (7/287) of patients: Grade 3 (n=3), Grade 2 (n=2), and Grade 1 (n=2). In Checkmate 025, diarrhea or colitis occurred in 25% (100/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO and 32% (126/397) of patients receiving everolimus. Immune-mediated diarrhea or colitis occurred in 3.2% (13/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=5), Grade 2 (n=7), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 205 and 039, diarrhea or colitis occurred in 30% (80/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated diarrhea (Grade 3) occurred in 1.1% (3/263) of patients.

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, severe, life-threatening, or fatal (diarrhea of ≥ 7 stools above baseline, fever, ileus, peritoneal signs; Grade 3-5) immune-mediated enterocolitis occurred in 34 (7%) patients. Across all YERVOY-treated patients in that study (n=511), 5 (1%) developed intestinal perforation, 4 (0.8%) died as a result of complications, and 26 (5%) were hospitalized for severe enterocolitis.

Immune-Mediated Hepatitis

Immune-mediated hepatitis can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Monitor patients for abnormal liver tests prior to and periodically during treatment. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 2 or greater transaminase elevations. Withhold for Grade 2 and permanently discontinue for Grade 3 or 4 immune-mediated hepatitis. In Checkmate 069 and 067, immune-mediated hepatitis occurred in 13% (51/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 4 (n=8), Grade 3 (n=37), Grade 2 (n=5), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, immune-mediated hepatitis occurred in 2.3% (18/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 4 (n=3), Grade 3 (n=11), and Grade 2 (n=4). In Checkmate 057, one patient (0.3%) developed immune-mediated hepatitis. In Checkmate 025, there was an increased incidence of liver test abnormalities compared to baseline in AST (33% vs 39%), alkaline phosphatase (32% vs 32%), ALT (22% vs 31%), and total bilirubin (9% vs 3.5%) in the OPDIVO and everolimus arms, respectively. Immune-mediated hepatitis requiring systemic immunosuppression occurred in 1.5% (6/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=5) and Grade 2 (n=1). In Checkmate 205 and 039, hepatitis occurred in 11% (30/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated hepatitis occurred in 3.4% (9/263): Grade 3 (n=7) and Grade 2 (n=2).

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, severe, life-threatening, or fatal hepatotoxicity (AST or ALT elevations >5x the ULN or total bilirubin elevations >3x the ULN; Grade 3-5) occurred in 8 (2%) patients, with fatal hepatic failure in 0.2% and hospitalization in 0.4%.

Immune-Mediated Dermatitis

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, severe, life-threatening, or fatal immune-mediated dermatitis (eg, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, or rash complicated by full thickness dermal ulceration, or necrotic, bullous, or hemorrhagic manifestations; Grade 3-5) occurred in 13 (2.5%) patients. 1 (0.2%) patient died as a result of toxic epidermal necrolysis. 1 additional patient required hospitalization for severe dermatitis.

Immune-Mediated Neuropathies

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, 1 case of fatal Guillain-Barré syndrome and 1 case of severe (Grade 3) peripheral motor neuropathy were reported.

Immune-Mediated Endocrinopathies

Hypophysitis, adrenal insufficiency, thyroid disorders, and type 1 diabetes mellitus can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Monitor patients for signs and symptoms of hypophysitis, signs and symptoms of adrenal insufficiency during and after treatment, thyroid function prior to and periodically during treatment, and hyperglycemia. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 2 or greater hypophysitis. Withhold for Grade 2 or 3 and permanently discontinue for Grade 4 hypophysitis. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 3 or 4 adrenal insufficiency. Withhold for Grade 2 and permanently discontinue for Grade 3 or 4 adrenal insufficiency. Administer hormone-replacement therapy for hypothyroidism. Initiate medical management for control of hyperthyroidism. Administer insulin for type 1 diabetes. Withhold OPDIVO for Grade 3 and permanently discontinue for Grade 4 hyperglycemia.

In Checkmate 069 and 067, hypophysitis occurred in 9% (36/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 3 (n=8), Grade 2 (n=25), and Grade 1 (n=3). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, hypophysitis occurred in 0.9% (7/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=3), and Grade 1 (n=2). In Checkmate 025, hypophysitis occurred in 0.5% (2/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=1) and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 069 and 067, adrenal insufficiency occurred in 5% (21/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 4 (n=1), Grade 3 (n=7), Grade 2 (n=11), and Grade 1 (n=2). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, adrenal insufficiency occurred in 1% (8/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=5), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 057, 0.3% (1/287) of OPDIVO-treated patients developed adrenal insufficiency. In Checkmate 025, adrenal insufficiency occurred in 2.0% (8/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=3), Grade 2 (n=4), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 205 and 039, adrenal insufficiency (Grade 2) occurred in 0.4% (1/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO. In Checkmate 069 and 067, hypothyroidism or thyroiditis occurred in 22% (89/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 3 (n=6), Grade 2 (n=47), and Grade 1 (n=36). Hyperthyroidism occurred in 8% (34/407) of patients: Grade 3 (n=4), Grade 2 (n=17), and Grade 1 (n=13). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, hypothyroidism or thyroiditis occurred in 9% (73/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=1), Grade 2 (n=37), Grade 1 (n=35). Hyperthyroidism occurred in 4.4% (35/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=1), Grade 2 (n=12), and Grade 1 (n=22). In Checkmate 057, Grade 1 or 2 hypothyroidism, including thyroiditis, occurred in 7% (20/287) and elevated thyroid

stimulating hormone occurred in 17% of patients receiving OPDIVO. Grade 1 or 2 hyperthyroidism occurred in 1.4% (4/287) of patients. In Checkmate 025, thyroid disease occurred in 11% (43/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO, including one Grade 3 event, and in 3.0% (12/397) of patients receiving everolimus. Hypothyroidism/thyroiditis occurred in 8% (33/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=17), and Grade 1 (n=14). Hyperthyroidism occurred in 2.5% (10/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 2 (n=5) and Grade 1 (n=5). In Checkmate 205 and 039, hypothyroidism/thyroiditis occurred in 12% (32/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 2 (n=18) and Grade 1: (n=14). Hyperthyroidism occurred in 1.5% (4/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 2: (n=3) and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 069 and 067, diabetes mellitus or diabetic ketoacidosis occurred in 1.5% (6/407) of patients: Grade 4 (n=3), Grade 3 (n=1), Grade 2 (n=1), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, diabetes mellitus or diabetic ketoacidosis occurred in 0.8% (6/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=3), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 025, hyperglycemic adverse events occurred in 9% (37/406) patients.

Diabetes mellitus or diabetic ketoacidosis occurred in 1.5% (6/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=3), Grade 2 (n=2), and Grade 1 (n=1). In Checkmate 205 and 039, diabetes mellitus occurred in 0.8% (2/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=1) and Grade 1 (n=1).

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, severe to life-threatening immune-mediated endocrinopathies (requiring hospitalization, urgent medical intervention, or interfering with activities of daily living; Grade 3-4) occurred in 9 (1.8%) patients. All 9 patients had hypopituitarism, and some had additional concomitant endocrinopathies such as adrenal insufficiency, hypogonadism, and hypothyroidism. 6 of the 9 patients were hospitalized for severe endocrinopathies.

Immune-Mediated Nephritis and Renal Dysfunction

Immune-mediated nephritis can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Monitor patients for elevated serum creatinine prior to and periodically during treatment. For Grade 2 or 3 increased serum creatinine, withhold and administer corticosteroids; if worsening or no improvement occurs, permanently discontinue. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 4 serum creatinine elevation and permanently discontinue. In Checkmate 069 and 067, immune-mediated nephritis and renal dysfunction occurred in 2.2% (9/407) of patients: Grade 4 (n=4), Grade 3 (n=3), and Grade 2 (n=2). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, nephritis and renal dysfunction of any grade occurred in 5% (40/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated nephritis and renal dysfunction occurred in 0.8% (6/787) of patients: Grade 3 (n=4) and Grade 2 (n=2). In Checkmate 057, Grade 2 immune-mediated renal dysfunction occurred in 0.3% (1/287) of patients receiving OPDIVO. In Checkmate 025, renal injury occurred in 7% (27/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO and 3.0% (12/397) of patients receiving everolimus. Immune-mediated nephritis and renal dysfunction occurred in 3.2% (13/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 5 (n=1), Grade 4 (n=1), Grade 3 (n=5), and Grade 2 (n=6). In Checkmate 205 and 039, nephritis and renal dysfunction occurred in 4.9% (13/263) of patients treated with OPDIVO. This included one reported case (0.3%) of Grade 3 autoimmune nephritis.

Immune-Mediated Rash

Immune-mediated rash can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Severe rash (including rare cases of fatal toxic epidermal necrolysis) occurred in the clinical program of OPDIVO. Monitor patients for rash. Administer corticosteroids for Grade 3 or 4 rash. Withhold for Grade 3 and permanently discontinue for Grade 4. In Checkmate 069 and 067, immune-mediated rash occurred in 22.6% (92/407) of

patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 3 (n=15), Grade 2 (n=31), and Grade 1 (n=46). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, immune-mediated rash occurred in 9% (72/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=7), Grade 2 (n=15), and Grade 1 (n=50). In Checkmate 057, immune-mediated rash occurred in 6% (17/287) of patients receiving OPDIVO including four Grade 3 cases. In Checkmate 025, rash occurred in 28% (112/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO and 36% (143/397) of patients receiving everolimus. Immune-mediated rash, defined as a rash treated with systemic or topical corticosteroids, occurred in 7% (30/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=4), Grade 2 (n=7), and Grade 1 (n=19). In Checkmate 205 and 039, rash occurred in 22% (58/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO. Immune-mediated rash occurred in 7% (18/263) of patients on OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=4), Grade 2 (n=3), and Grade 1 (n=11).

Immune-Mediated Encephalitis

Immune-mediated encephalitis can occur with OPDIVO treatment. Withhold OPDIVO in patients with new-onset moderate to severe neurologic signs or symptoms and evaluate to rule out other causes. If other etiologies are ruled out, administer corticosteroids and permanently discontinue OPDIVO for immune-mediated encephalitis. In Checkmate 067, encephalitis was identified in one patient (0.2%) receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY. In Checkmate 057, fatal limbic encephalitis occurred in one patient (0.3%) receiving OPDIVO. In Checkmate 205 and 039, encephalitis occurred in 0.8% (2/263) of patients after allogeneic HSCT after OPDIVO.

Other Immune-Mediated Adverse Reactions

Based on the severity of adverse reaction, permanently discontinue or withhold treatment, administer high-dose corticosteroids, and, if appropriate, initiate hormone-replacement therapy. In < 1.0% of patients receiving OPDIVO, the following clinically significant, immune-mediated adverse reactions occurred: uveitis, iritis, pancreatitis, facial and abducens nerve paresis, demyelination, polymyalgia rheumatica, autoimmune neuropathy, Guillain-Barré syndrome, hypopituitarism, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, gastritis, duodenitis, and sarcoidosis. Across clinical trials of OPDIVO as a single agent administered at doses of 3 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, additional clinically significant, immune-mediated adverse reactions were identified: motor dysfunction, vasculitis, and myasthenic syndrome.

Infusion Reactions

Severe infusion reactions have been reported in <1.0% of patients in clinical trials of OPDIVO. Discontinue OPDIVO in patients with Grade 3 or 4 infusion reactions. Interrupt or slow the rate of infusion in patients with Grade 1 or 2. In Checkmate 069 and 067, infusion-related reactions occurred in 2.5% (10/407) of patients receiving OPDIVO with YERVOY: Grade 2 (n=6) and Grade 1 (n=4). In Checkmate 037, 066, and 067, Grade 2 infusion related reactions occurred in 2.7% (21/787) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=8), and Grade 1 (n=11). In Checkmate 057, Grade 2 infusion reactions requiring corticosteroids occurred in 1.0% (3/287) of patients receiving OPDIVO. In Checkmate 025, hypersensitivity/infusion-related reactions occurred in 6% (25/406) of patients receiving OPDIVO and 1.0% (4/397) of patients receiving everolimus. In Checkmate 205 and 039, hypersensitivity/infusion-related reactions occurred in 16% (42/263) of patients receiving OPDIVO: Grade 3 (n=2), Grade 2 (n=24), and Grade 1 (n=16).

Complications of Allogeneic HSCT after OPDIVO

Complications, including fatal events, occurred in patients who received allogeneic HSCT after OPDIVO. Outcomes were evaluated in 17 patients from Checkmate 205 and 039, who underwent allogeneic HSCT after discontinuing OPDIVO (15 with reduced-intensity conditioning, 2 with myeloablative conditioning). Thirty-five percent (6/17) of patients died from complications of allogeneic HSCT after OPDIVO. Five deaths occurred in the setting of severe or refractory GVHD. Grade 3 or higher acute GVHD was reported in 29% (5/17) of patients. Hyperacute GVHD was reported in 20% (n=2) of patients. A steroid-requiring febrile syndrome, without an identified infectious cause, was reported in 35% (n=6) of patients. Two cases of encephalitis were reported: Grade 3 (n=1) lymphocytic encephalitis without an identified infectious cause, and Grade 3 (n=1) suspected viral encephalitis. Hepatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD) occurred in one patient, who received reduced-intensity conditioned allogeneic SCT and died of GVHD and multi-organ failure. Other cases of hepatic VOD after reduced-intensity conditioned allogeneic HSCT have also been reported in patients with lymphoma who received a PD-1 receptor blocking antibody before transplantation. Cases of fatal hyperacute GVHD have also been reported. These complications may occur despite intervening therapy between PD-1 blockade and allogeneic HSCT.

Follow patients closely for early evidence of transplant-related complications such as hyperacute GVHD, severe (Grade 3 to 4) acute GVHD, steroid-requiring febrile syndrome, hepatic VOD, and other immune-mediated adverse reactions, and intervene promptly.

Embryo-fetal Toxicity

Based on their mechanisms of action, OPDIVO and YERVOY can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman. Advise pregnant women of the potential risk to a fetus. Advise females of reproductive potential to use effective contraception during treatment with an OPDIVO- or YERVOY-containing regimen and for at least 5 months after the last dose of OPDIVO.

Lactation

It is not known whether OPDIVO or YERVOY is present in human milk. Because many drugs, including antibodies, are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from an OPDIVO-containing regimen, advise women to discontinue breastfeeding during treatment. Advise women to discontinue nursing during treatment with YERVOY and for 3 months following the final dose.

Serious Adverse Reactions

In Checkmate 067, serious adverse reactions (73% and 37%), adverse reactions leading to permanent discontinuation (43% and 14%) or to dosing delays (55% and 28%), and Grade 3 or 4 adverse reactions (72% and 44%) all occurred more frequently in the OPDIVO plus YERVOY arm relative to the OPDIVO arm. The most frequent ($\geq 10\%$) serious adverse reactions in the OPDIVO plus YERVOY arm and the OPDIVO arm, respectively, were diarrhea (13% and 2.6%), colitis (10% and 1.6%), and pyrexia (10% and 0.6%). In Checkmate 037, serious adverse reactions occurred in 41% of patients receiving OPDIVO. Grade 3 and 4 adverse reactions occurred in 42% of patients receiving OPDIVO. The most frequent Grade 3 and 4 adverse drug reactions reported in 2% to $<5\%$ of patients receiving OPDIVO were abdominal pain, hyponatremia, increased aspartate aminotransferase, and increased lipase. In Checkmate 066, serious adverse reactions occurred in 36% of patients receiving OPDIVO. Grade 3 and 4 adverse reactions occurred in 41% of patients receiving OPDIVO. The most frequent Grade 3 and 4 adverse reactions reported in $\geq 2\%$ of patients receiving OPDIVO were

gamma-glutamyltransferase increase (3.9%) and diarrhea (3.4%). In Checkmate 057, serious adverse reactions occurred in 47% of patients receiving OPDIVO. The most frequent serious adverse reactions reported in $\geq 2\%$ of patients were pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, dyspnea, pleural effusion, and respiratory failure. In Checkmate 025, serious adverse reactions occurred in 47% of patients receiving OPDIVO. The most frequent serious adverse reactions reported in $\geq 2\%$ of patients were acute kidney injury, pleural effusion, pneumonia, diarrhea, and hypercalcemia. In Checkmate 205 and 039, among all patients (safety population [n=263]), adverse reactions leading to discontinuation (4.2%) or to dosing delays (23%) occurred. The most frequent serious adverse reactions reported in $\geq 1\%$ of patients were infusion-related reaction, pneumonia, pleural effusion, pyrexia, rash and pneumonitis. Ten patients died from causes other than disease progression, including 6 who died from complications of allogeneic HSCT. Serious adverse reactions occurred in 21% of patients in the safety population (n=263) and 27% of patients in the subset of patients evaluated for efficacy (efficacy population [n=95]).

Common Adverse Reactions

In Checkmate 067, the most common ($\geq 20\%$) adverse reactions in the OPDIVO plus YERVOY arm were fatigue (59%), rash (53%), diarrhea (52%), nausea (40%), pyrexia (37%), vomiting (28%), and dyspnea (20%). The most common ($\geq 20\%$) adverse reactions in the OPDIVO arm were fatigue (53%), rash (40%), diarrhea (31%), and nausea (28%). In Checkmate 037, the most common adverse reaction ($\geq 20\%$) reported with OPDIVO was rash (21%). In Checkmate 066, the most common adverse reactions ($\geq 20\%$) reported with OPDIVO vs dacarbazine were fatigue (49% vs 39%), musculoskeletal pain (32% vs 25%), rash (28% vs 12%), and pruritus (23% vs 12%). In Checkmate 057, the most common adverse reactions ($\geq 20\%$) reported with OPDIVO were fatigue (49%), musculoskeletal pain (36%), cough (30%), decreased appetite (29%), and constipation (23%). In Checkmate 025, the most common adverse reactions ($\geq 20\%$) reported in patients receiving OPDIVO vs everolimus were asthenic conditions (56% vs 57%), cough (34% vs 38%), nausea (28% vs 29%), rash (28% vs 36%), dyspnea (27% vs 31%), diarrhea (25% vs 32%), constipation (23% vs 18%), decreased appetite (23% vs 30%), back pain (21% vs 16%), and arthralgia (20% vs 14%). In Checkmate 205 and 039, among all patients (safety population [n=263]) and the subset of patients in the efficacy population (n=95), respectively, the most common adverse reactions (reported in at least 20%) were fatigue (32% and 43%), upper respiratory tract infection (28% and 48%), pyrexia (24% and 35%), diarrhea (23% and 30%), and cough (22% and 35%). In the subset of patients in the efficacy population (n=95), the most common adverse reactions also included rash (31%), musculoskeletal pain (27%), pruritus (25%), nausea (23%), arthralgia (21%), and peripheral neuropathy (21%).

In a separate Phase 3 study of YERVOY 3 mg/kg, the most common adverse reactions ($\geq 5\%$) in patients who received YERVOY at 3 mg/kg were fatigue (41%), diarrhea (32%), pruritus (31%), rash (29%), and colitis (8%).

CHECKMATE Trials and Patient Populations

Checkmate 069 and 067 - advanced melanoma alone or in combination with YERVOY; **Checkmate 037 and 066** - advanced melanoma; **Checkmate 057** - non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); **Checkmate 025** - renal cell carcinoma; **Checkmate 205/039** - classical Hodgkin lymphoma

About the Bristol-Myers Squibb and Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Collaboration

In 2011, through a collaboration agreement with Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Ono) Bristol-Myers Squibb expanded its territorial rights to develop and commercialize *Opdivo* globally except in Japan, South Korea and Taiwan, where Ono had retained all rights to the compound at the time. On July 23, 2014, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Ono further expanded the companies' strategic collaboration agreement to jointly develop and commercialize multiple immunotherapies – as single agents and combination regimens – for patients with cancer in Japan, South Korea and Taiwan.

About Bristol-Myers Squibb

Bristol-Myers Squibb is a global biopharmaceutical company whose mission is to discover, develop and deliver innovative medicines that help patients prevail over serious diseases. For more information about Bristol-Myers Squibb, visit us at BMS.com or follow us on [LinkedIn](#), [Twitter](#), [YouTube](#) and [Facebook](#).

Bristol-Myers Squibb Forward-Looking Statement

This press release contains "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 regarding the research, development and commercialization of pharmaceutical products. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and involve inherent risks and uncertainties, including factors that could delay, divert or change any of them, and could cause actual outcomes and results to differ materially from current expectations. No forward-looking statement can be guaranteed. Forward-looking statements in this press release should be evaluated together with the many uncertainties that affect Bristol-Myers Squibb's business, particularly those identified in the cautionary factors discussion in Bristol-Myers Squibb's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and our Current Reports on Form 8-K. Bristol-Myers Squibb undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Contact:

Bristol-Myers Squibb Company

Media:

Audrey Abernathy, 609-419-5375

Cell: 919-605-4521

audrey.abernathy@bms.com

Or

Investors:

Ranya Dajani, 609-252-5330

ranya.dajani@bms.com

Bill Szablewski, 609-252-5894
william.szablewski@bms.com